Nicole Kistler From:

To: SR 520 DEIS Comments;

CC: Ziegler, Jennifer; timceis@seattle.gov; nick.licata@seattle.

gov:

Subject: SR520 DEIS Comments

Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 8:35:39 PM

Attachments:

Dear Mr. Krueger,

I-1043-001 I moved to Seattle 11 years ago, because I found like-minded people here that care deeply about the environment, and want the city to be a place where we can still connect with nature. I acknowledge that Seattle is growing, and needs transportation that grows with it. However, I am concerned that the 6 lane option in the SR520 DEIS doesn't go far enough in protecting our valuable open space and cultural resources in the Arboretum. It is my understanding that the Arboretum will be used as a staging area, and will also have a large temporary bridge. I am concerned about the health of the trees in the collection in the event the area is used for staging. I-1043-002 | In addition, please carefully consider the impacts

that a Pacific Interchange option would have on the amazing fountain vista through UW, and the impacts on

I-1043-003 | While I realize that the Duwamish Tribe does not have Federal recognition at this time, I was concerned to see that they are not on the list of tribes that the State is working with. Their sacred lands were significantly impacted during the construction of the current bridge. To me, this seems like an opportunity to do better.

I-1043-004 I cannot say that I strongly favor one plan over another, but I urge you to implement transit options to the greatest extent possible. As we near the end of the big oil era, I think it is time we built the infrastructure we will need to successfully move through our communities car free.

I-1043-005 I applaud the work WSDOT is doing to protect water

### I-1043-001

## **Comment Summary:**

Arboretum (Concerns)

## Response:

See Section 9.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

### I-1043-002

## **Comment Summary:**

Pacific Street Interchange Option

## Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

### I-1043-003

## **Comment Summary:**

Coordination with Other Transportation Projects

## Response:

See Section 1.0 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

### I-1043-004

# **Comment Summary:**

Regional Land Use and Transportation Planning

# Response:

See Section 2.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

### I-1043-005

# **Comment Summary:**

Coordination with Other Transportation Projects

**I-1043-005** quality on this project. I also feel that WSDOT has done a great job in responding to the public, both in answering questions and concerns, and in reflecting the input in design options. 1 am confident that you will continue to do so throughout the process.

> Best Regards, Nicole Kistler

Get your email and see which of your friends are online - Right on the New Yahoo.com (http://www.yahoo.com/preview)

# Response:

See Section 1.0 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.